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Abstract
The present paper presents a tool for the assessment of the visual performance of spaces, especially with regard to the requirements of visually impaired people. This tool was one of the key deliverables of a recently completed project (ViDeA) addressing the special architecturally relevant needs of the visually impaired building users. Implementation of building regulations related to visual accessibility in a reliable manner (during design process) requires expert knowledge and the use of sophisticated visual simulation software. Toward this end, the tool attempts to facilitate the accurate evaluation of visual conditions in the proposed designs. The paper describes the general structure and implementation details. It also documents the preliminary verification of the tool based on a case study of an underground metro station.

1. Introduction
Partially sighted people constitute a large part of the population (over 246 million worldwide, WHO, 2012). The specific requirements of this population in view of the universal design has not been sufficiently addressed. International and national standards (e.g., ISO, 2011; DIN, 2009; SIA, 2009; ASI, 2013; BSI, 2009) provide some information on the threshold levels for certain relevant visual indicators (e.g., luminance contrast and average illuminance). Less attention seems to have been paid to further visual performance indicators (for example, light distribution uniformity), which are equally relevant in evaluation of visual quality of spaces. The threshold values for these indicators had to be derived in a process of original optometric experiments in the ViDeA project involving both partially and full sighted participants. There is a long list of visual simulation applications that can support the building design process. However, to our knowledge there is a lack of visual performance simulation tools that would be suited to specifically address the needs of visually impaired people.

2. A Visual Performance Assessment Tool
2.1 General Structure
The standard workflow in ViDeA begins on the client’s side where a 3D model is created or imported to a CAD software (see Fig. 1). Utilizing software’s GUI (Graphical User Interface) it is semantically enriched. Thereby, visual properties of the surfaces and lighting system details are specified.

![ViDeA workflow diagram](Fig. 1 – ViDeA tool workflow (Wolosiuk et al. 2015))
This data set together with user-specified observer positions constitute the input for an advanced lighting simulation engine. Simulation results in the values of a number of view dependent visual indicators such as UGR (Unified Glare Rating). The user is presented with the results of the simulation via a dedicated Web interface, where rendered images can be further analyzed in whole or in parts (e.g., mean luminance or contrast ratio for a selected segment of the rendered image).

Computed values of numeric indicator values are compared with pertinent threshold values, which are determined via optometric experiments (conducted in the course of the ViDeA project) and literature. The set of relevant visual indicators that was selected for the projected space evaluation is as follows:

Luminance contrast according to Michelson (Rea, 2000):

\[
C_{lt} = \frac{(L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}})}{(L_{\text{max}} + L_{\text{min}})} \cdot \frac{(L_{\text{max}} + L_{\text{min}})}{1} \quad (1)
\]

Luminance contrast according to Weber (Rea, 2000):

\[
C_{W} = \frac{(L_g - L_l)}{L_g} \cdot \frac{L_g}{1} \quad (2)
\]

Mean Luminance (\(L_m\)) and mean Illuminance (\(E_m\))

Light Distribution Uniformity (DIN, 2011):

\[
U_o = L_{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{L_m}{1} \quad (3)
\]

Unified Glare Rating (CIE, 2011):

\[
UGR = 8 \cdot \log\left(\frac{0.25 \cdot L_v^3 \cdot \sum (L^2 \cdot \Omega \cdot p^{-2})}{\left(\sum (L^2 \cdot \Omega)ight)^{0.5}}\right) \quad (4)
\]

The indicators set was based on examination of related literature (e.g. CIE, 2011; DIN, 2009 and 2010; ISO, 2011; SIA, 2009; Bright et al., 1997; Buser et al., 2008; Joos et al., 2012; Schmidt and Buser, 2014; BMG, 1996) approved and supplemented by recommendations from optometrists involved in the project.

2.2 Implementation

To cater for the ViDeA tool’s requirements in view of 3D modelling, camera tools, "layers" grouping, and material selection functionality, Trimble SketchUp was selected (Trimble, 2014). This tool comes with a built-in Ruby API that allows for a further functionality extension through various plug-ins. The already existing "su2rad" (Bleicher, 2015) plug-in, was altered to support the project goals.

The original plug-in functions as a bridge between SketchUp and RADIANCE advance lighting simulation software (Ward, 1994) (Fig. 2). It facilitates access to the initial simulation settings, allows for the assignment of Radiance compliant materials to groups of 3D objects (per layer, per color), and exports stored camera positions.

The output of the original plug-in is a folder containing simulation files structured in accordance with RADIANCE guidelines. To perform a simulation of an exported scene, Radiance and operating systems command line interface (CLI) knowledge is required. The ViDeA extension alters this original plug-in to make it possible to import into the model, luminaire source geometry and photometric data extracted from IES photometric data files (IESNA, 2002). Moreover, the developed plugin allows to easily exchange imported luminaire instances against other options in the luminaire database.

Fig. 2 – Plug-in architecture
required for calculation of the visual performance
indicators.
To remove CLI, a web-application was created
(Fig. 3). It presents the user with numeric and visual
simulation results together with warning informa-
tion in case the values are outside the recom-
mended ranges. Likewise, tools are provided for an
interactive analysis of the rendered views.
Simulation files are automatically compressed
during the export process to facilitate the upload pro-
cess. Uploading is done via dedicated web-inter-
face. The simulation is automatically initialized on
the server by the execution of the relevant Radiance
executable and system commands. All relevant sim-
ulation output (indicators, rendered images) is pro-
cessed and stored in a database for rapid queries.
During image analysis, an R-tree data structure
(multi-dimensional tree data structures that provide
efficient spatial access to their elements, see
Guttman, 1984) is generated from values obtained
from each pixel.

3. Illustrative Example

3.1 Input Data

In order to illustrate the workflow and verify the
ViDeA tool, an existing metro station in Vienna was
selected as a study case. Toward this end, the fol-
lowing data was acquired:
Blueprints and photographic documentation for the
recreation of 3D space;
Photometric profiles of electric lighting;
Luminance images;
Optical properties of the building materials.
The project partners supplied the blueprints as well
as the photometric lighting profiles (in form of IES
files). The station was also well documented in a set
of luminance images captured using a fish-eye lens.
These images are later used for model validation.
Using a portable spectrophotometer, a series of on-
site measurements was performed to determine the
average reflectance of the building materials. The
collected data had to be converted to a radiance
compliant format.

3.2 Modelling

The 3D model of the station was recreated in Ar-
chicad (BIM software) and exported in a Sketchup
compliant format (Fig. 4). Given the added function-
ality in the modified “su2rad” plug-in, the lumina-
ire source geometry (extracted from IES file) was
imported to the 3D model and then duplicated and
positioned according to the plan documentation. In
the following step, the 3D model was semantically
enriched by associating previously gathered mate-
rial properties to the surfaces. This was done using
another new plug-in’ feature that allowed to add the
on-site collected materials (in radiance compliant
format) to the native Sketchup material collection,
thereby enabling the use of the built-in “paint
bucket” tool.
Finally, using native Sketch-Up camera and 3d view
storing functionality, a number of viewer positions
(matching those from luminance camera) were
selected for rendering.
Simulation is carried out automatically after the up-
load of a ZIP file (outcome of the modeling phase)
via ViDeA web interface to the dedicated server.
The end product of the simulation is a set of rendered views with pre-calculated view dependent visual indicators (UGR, mean luminance/illuminance, light distribution uniformity), ready for analysis. Rendered images and those obtained from the luminance camera were compared toward model performance evaluation.

3.3 Simulation Results and Verification

Fig.s 5 and 6 contrast the false color images obtained from the luminance camera with the computationally rendered images. Table 1 shows the resulting average luminance values for the specific segments of the images (see Fig.s 5 and 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/L1</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/L2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/L3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/L4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/L5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/L6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/L7</td>
<td>218.2</td>
<td>217.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/L8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/L9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The good correlation between the simulations and the measurements are arguably the result of two factors. One factor is attributable to the reliability of the adopted computational engine (Radiance). The other factor pertains to the deployment potential of the developed environment toward a proper and effective generation of simulation input models. Hereby, the effectiveness relates to the circumstance that the components of the model (geometry, surface properties, luminaire specifications) can be conveniently obtained and reliably assembled. Likewise, consideration and inclusion of empirically obtained information regarding the specific requirements of visually impaired people enriches the informational background for the evaluation of the calculated values of pertinent visual performance indicators.

4. Conclusion

This paper reported on a visual simulation and analysis tool that was developed as a part of the ViDeA research project. The project addressed the needs of visually impaired people by focusing on the specific requirements for creation of visually accessible spaces. Toward this end, the project partners carried out interviews and optometric experiments. Moreover, a systematic set of key visual performance indicators and associated computational routines was generated, which served as a base for the developed tool. The ViDeA tool aims at providing the necessary means to support designers in simulating and analyzing 3D scenes. To obtain detailed and high fidelity simulation results, a well-established simulation engine was deployed. A set of analytical tools was provided to facilitate the extraction of data necessary for a comprehensive visual performance assessment of the rendered scenes. The comparison of simulated and real-world numeric results presented in this paper, shows a promising correlation. This points to the potential of the developed environment as a design and retrofit decision support tool. The next developmental steps pertain to tool performance optimization. Specifically, computational efficiency is to be increased. Toward this end, recent developments in the use of advanced GPUs in the ray tracing process (Jones, 2014) and the associated rendering time reduction are to be harnessed.
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Nomenclature

Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_m$</td>
<td>Average Illuminance (lux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_b$</td>
<td>Background Luminance $\cdot (E\cdot\pi^{-1})$ (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_h$</td>
<td>Higher Luminance (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_l$</td>
<td>Lower Luminance (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_m$</td>
<td>Average Luminance (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Maximum Luminance (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>Minimum Luminance (cd·m$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega$</td>
<td>Solid angle (sr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Guth position index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_o$</td>
<td>Luminance uniformity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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