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Abstract

In order to retain good indoor air quality through the year in detached houses with passive ventilation systems, the author investigated a mechanical control air-supply method using a simulation program: “Fresh2015”.

Fresh2015 simulates indoor environments in the houses with two types of structures and four types of ventilation systems. The simulation results showed that this mechanically controlled air-supply opening keeps ventilation rates adequate and makes the indoor air quality good from winter to the mild season especially in airtight houses.

Introduction

Passive ventilation systems (PVS) have been used in detached houses in Hokkaido, the coldest area of Japan, since 1990s. A benefit of PVS is that it does not need electrical energy for fans and its maintenance work is easy for the dwellers. The most beneficial point of PVS is that it keeps ventilation rates adequate without operation by dwellers, so it is a fail-safe system in retaining good indoor air quality in houses.

However, ventilation rates depend on weather conditions. Ventilation rates decrease in warm seasons. The lack of ventilation rates is a problem in most areas of Japan, and in 1999, a hybrid ventilation system (HVS) was developed using an electrical airflow sensor and a mechanical fan and it is widely used in Japan through the year. On the other hand, PVS is beginning to be used all over Japan as a ventilation system for winter.

In this study, a design method of retaining enough ventilation rates in houses with PVS in all seasons was investigated using a simulation program “Fresh2015”.

Methods

In order to keep ventilation rates adequate in both winter and the mild seasons, a passive stack ventilation system using a mechanical dampers (TD) controlled by supply air temperature was designed. The system consists of a stack and an air supply route with a damper. The equivalent leakage area of TD changes with the temperature of supply air. This response of the equivalent leakage area toward the temperature of supply air has to be adjusted considering the weather conditions and the required ventilation rates. In order to develop an optimum method of retaining indoor air quality and at same time saving energy, the following studies were carried out. The author proposed an optimum method based on the weather conditions and the required ventilation rates.

1) Optimizing the temperature response of thermal damper TD

An airflow ratio of an air supply opening: \( a_1 \) is calculated from the airflow ratio of an exhaust opening: \( a_2 \) and the required airflow rate: \( Q_{\text{req}} \) as follows. The outdoor air pressure: \( P_0 \) is set to zero at the height of the air supply opening.

When \( n_1 \) is the same as \( n_2 \) (\( n_1=n_2=n \)), the following equations are obtained.

\[
Q_{\text{req}} = a_1 \Delta p_1^{1/n} \quad (1)
\]

\[
-Q_{\text{req}} = -a_2 (-\Delta p_2)^{1/n} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\Delta p_1 = -P_i \quad (3)
\]

\[
\Delta p_2 = (-h \rho_o)-(P_i-h \rho_i)=-P_i-h(\rho_o-\rho_i) \quad (4)
\]

Where,

\( \rho_o: \) weight of outdoor air=\( 353/(273+\theta_o) \),  
\( \rho_i: \) weight of indoor air=\( 353/(273+\theta_i) \),  
\( \theta_o: \) outdoor temperature,  
\( \theta_i: \) indoor air temperature

The next equation is obtained from equation 3 and equation 1.

\[
Q_{\text{req}} = a_1 (-P_i)^{1/n} \quad (5)
\]

The next equation is obtained from equation 4 and equation 2.

\[
-Q_{\text{req}} = -a_2 (P_i+h(\rho_o-\rho_i))^{1/n} \quad (6)
\]
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The next equation is obtained from equation 5 and equation 6.

\[ Q_{req} = a_0(-h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n} = a_1(-h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n} \] (7)

\[ \Delta Q_{req} = a_0((h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n}) = a_1((h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n} \] (8)

Where, \( \mu = a_1/a_2 \)

\[ P_i = -h(p_o-p_i) / (1+\delta^n) \] (9)

The next equation is obtained from equation 9 and equation 5.

\[ Q_{req} = a_1((h(p_o-p_i)) / (1+\delta^n))^{1/n} \] (10)

\[ Q_{req} = a_1((-h(p_o-p_i)) / (1+\delta^n))^{1/n} \] (11)

\[ \mu = Q_o / (a_1((h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n} - Q^n))^{1/n} \] (12)

Figure 2 shows the relationship between \( \mu \) and the outdoor temperature. The ratio \( \mu \) is the lowest in the case where \( n=2.0 \). Therefore, the air-supply opening area is small in this case.

2) Simulations using "Fresh2015"

Airflow networks, indoor concentrations of pollutants (CO₂, HCHO) were calculated using leakage network models of Japanese wooden houses (an improved post-and-beam wooden structure and a wooden (2 inch x 4 inch) stud structure). The ventilation systems of simulated models were P (a passive ventilation system), TP (a passive ventilation system with TD) and mechanical ventilation systems (an exhaust and supply ventilation system and an exhaust ventilation system). Table 1 shows simulation models with several ventilation systems. In the case of TP, the required air supply rate (\( Q_{req} \)) is set to 120(m³/h).

"Fresh" simulates the temperatures, the airflow rates, the concentrations and the generation rates of pollutants like formaldehyde: HCHO, carbon dioxide: CO₂ using the NHK standard living schedule model and the HASP weather data on Tokyo. The simulation program was written in 1996, and was named 'Fresh96'. It was composed of the following three calculation methods.

① Dynamic thermal calculation of temperature, and heating and cooling loads.

Dr. Aratani devised the calculation method in 1974. The initial responses of the thermal-flow rates are calculated. The following equation was proposed in order to increase the speed of the calculation.

\[ h(t) = B_0 + \Sigma B_{air} \cdot \delta q \cdot t + q \cdot \delta(t) \] (13)

Where, \( h(t) \) the initial response of thermal-flow rate, \( B_0 \) the steady value of thermal flow rate, \( q = \Sigma B_{air}/\beta_\mu \) and \( \delta(t) \) Delta function.

② Calculation of air flow rates in the multi-cell system

An equation of power at the openings: the airflow rates are calculated using the following equations which are led by the balances of power at openings is used.

\[ D[\{q(t)\} + \{K\}]dt = \{F_{wind}\} + \{F_{emp}\} + \{F_{fan}\} \] (14)

where \( q \) the airflow rate, \( n \) the exponent of airflow friction, \( D \) the matrix of airflow friction, \( K \) the matrix of room air elasticity, \( F_{wind} \) the power of wind, \( F_{emp} \) the power by the room air density \( F_{fan} \) the power of fan.

③ Dynamic calculation of concentrations of pollutants

An equation of the amount of pollutants: the concentrations of pollutants in each room are calculated using the following equations which are led by the balance of the volume of the pollutants is used.

\[ (Q_{i}) \cdot [C(t)] + [V] \cdot [C'(t)] = [M(t)] \] (15)

where \( Q \) the matrix of airflow rate \( Q_{i} \), the airflow rate from room-i to room-j, \( Q_{i,j} \), \( C_{i} \) and the concentration of pollutants in each room are calculated using the following equations which are led by the balance of the volume of the pollutants is used.

\[ Q_{i,j} = h(t) = B_0 + \Sigma B_{air} \cdot \delta q \cdot t + q \cdot \delta(t) \] (13)

\[ \mu = Q_o / (a_1((-h(p_o-p_i))^{1/n} - Q^n))^{1/n} \] (12)

Table 1 Models for simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>E.R.A. of structure</th>
<th>Exhaust</th>
<th>Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_ES</td>
<td>Wooden stud structure (2inchx4inch)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_E</td>
<td>Wooden stud structure (2inchx4inch)</td>
<td>Stack 313 (cm³/h)</td>
<td>Stack 313 (cm³/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_P</td>
<td>Stack 313 (cm³/h)</td>
<td>Stack 313 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_TP</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_ES</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_E</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_P</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_TP</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_ES</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_E</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_P</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_TP</td>
<td>Fan 120 (m³/h)</td>
<td>O. at C.S. 247 (cm³/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 2 the response of air supply opening area to outdoor temperature
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the concentration of a pollutant, \( [V] \) the volume of rooms, \( [M] \) the emission rates of a pollutant in each room.

The equation can be solved using Newmark \( \beta \) numerical integration method. The emission rates of \( CO_2 \) are set using the average Japanese daily schedule and the data on the emission rates caused by the dwellers’ behaviours in houses. The daily schedule of each family is set considering the plan of house. Figure 3 shows the calculated emission rates of \( CO_2 \). The emission rates of \( CO_2 \) are influenced by the behaviour of the family. The emission rates are high in the bedrooms on the second floor at night and the emission rates are high in the living room on the first floor at daytime. This is the pattern of the emission rates of \( CO_2 \) in a general Japanese detached house. The emission rates of formaldehyde were calculated using an equation with the consideration of the influences of temperature and sink effect.

\[
E = E_{25}(t) \cdot a(T-25) - \beta \cdot C(t) \quad (16)
\]

Where \( E \): emission rate \( (\mu g/(h \cdot m^2)) \), \( E_{25} (= 100 \mu g/(h \cdot m^2)) \): emission rate measured in small chamber when temperature is 25deg.C, \( T \): temperature, \( a = 1.11 \): measured in small chamber, \( \beta = 0.06 \): ratio of sink measured in small chamber, \( C(t) \): concentration \( (\mu g/m^3) \)

\( E_{25} \) is influenced by the emission ability of building materials. The ability was supposed to be in proportion to the quantity of pollutant in material. Therefore, \( E_{25} \) is shown as the following equation.

\[
E_{25}(t) = E_{25}(0) \cdot M(t)/M(0) \quad (17)
\]

Where \( M(t) \): the quantity of pollutants in a material.

Initial formaldehyde emission rates in the concealed spaces are set to be \( 100 \mu g/(h \cdot m^2) \) considering the surface area of emission sources like plywood. The concentration of carbon dioxide: \( CO_2 \) is set to be 400ppm.

Results

The indoor environments were simulated from February to March. Figure 4 and figure 5 shows the weather data of Tokyo. The outdoor temperature increases through this term and the temperature difference decrease and is close to zero in March. Therefore, the power of stack ventilation decreases in this term.

Figure 4 wind speed in Tokyo

Figure 5 outdoor temperature in Tokyo

Figure 6 shows an airflow network of an airtight structure: A in the case of a passive ventilation: P in winter. Outdoor air is supplied to the crawl space and this air is divided to living spaces: ldk on the first floor and bedrooms: br on the second floor. The indoor air is exhausted from step and hall: sh and utility: ut through a stack.

Figure 7 to 10 show the airflow rates of a supply opening and a exhaust opening in the case of the airtight structure: A. In the case of mechanical ventilation systems (figure 7 and 8), these airflow rates are almost steady. However the air supply rate fluctuates a little in the case of an exhaust ventilation system: E in figure 8.

In the case of a passive ventilation system (figure 9), these airflow rate decrease in this term. The airflow rate fluctuates with the wind speed. This fluctuation is more significant in the mild term than in winter.

In the case of a passive ventilation system with thermal damper: TP, the above mentioned trend of
outdoor temperature is not significant as shown in figure 10. However the fluctuation of wind speed is more significant than in the case of P. The opening area increases with temperature rises and the influence of the wind upon these airflow rate increases.

Figure 7 airflow rates of A_ES

Figure 8 airflow rates of A_E

Figure 9 airflow rates of A_P

Figure 10 airflow rates of A_TP

Figure 11 to 14 show the indoor concentrations of CO2 and HCHO in the case of airtight structure A. These concentrations are the averages of the concentrations in ldk and those in br. These concentrations depend on the airflow network and the emission rates. The CO2 concentration changes daily with its emission rate as shown in figure 3. The emission rate of HCHO depends on the indoor temperature. Therefore, the HCHO emission rate increases gradually in this term.

In the case of A_ES (figure 11), these concentrations are almost steady through this term. In the case of A_E (figure 12), these concentrations fluctuate and are sometimes higher than standard level (CO2: 1000ppm, HCHO: 100 μg/m³). This fluctuation depends on the airflow network. The airflow rate through br becomes low and the concentration becomes high. If the airflow design is improved, the fluctuation may be smaller.

In the cases of A_P (figure 13), these concentrations increase gradually with outdoor temperature. The trend is significant in the case of HCHO.

In the case of A_TP (figure 14), the above trend is not significant. These concentrations are low after April 16, when the air supply opening is large and the wind speed is high in this term.

Figure 15 to 18 show the relationship between outdoor temperature and airflow rates. In the case of mechanical ventilation systems A_ES and A_E (figure 15), the airflow rates do not depend on outdoor temperature. In the case of A_P (figure 16), the airflow rates depend on outdoor temperature. In the case of A_TP (figure 16), the airflow rates do not depend on outdoor temperature.
In the case of B_ES (figure 17), the airflow rates do not depend on the outdoor temperature. In the case of B_E, outdoor temperature influences the air supply rate. In the case of B_P (figure 18), the airflow rates depend on outdoor temperature. In the case of B_TP, the air supply rate does not depend on outdoor temperature. However, the outdoor temperature influences the exhaust rate.

Figure 17 outdoor temperature and airflow rates in the case of B_ES and B_E

Figure 18 outdoor temperature and airflow rates in the case of B_P and B_TP

Figure 19 and 20 show the averages and standard deviations of airflow rates. The average of air supply rates is from 90 to 120 (m²/h) as shown in figure 19. The average exhaust rate is 80 to 190 (m²/h) as shown in figure 20. In the case of B_TP, the air exhaust rate is very large, because the infiltration rate is high in winter.

Figure 19 averages and standard deviations of air supply rates

Figure 20 averages and standard deviations of air exhaust rates

Figure 21 and 22 show the averages and standard deviations of concentrations. The concentrations are higher in high airtight structures A than those in middle airtight structures B. The infiltration have an influence upon these low concentrations. The concentrations in ES is the lowest. The main factor of this comparison result is the airflow rates through the bedrooms: br. The concentrations are lower in TP than in P. The thermal damper has an effect on adequate indoor air concentrations.
Figure 21 averages and standard deviations of indoor CO2 concentrations

Figure 22 averages and standard deviations of indoor HCHO concentrations

Conclusion
The simulation results are as follows.
1) The airflow network and indoor concentrations of passive ventilation systems changes from winter to the mild season.
2) The indoor concentration depends on not only the airflow rate but also the leakage network.
3) In the case of passive ventilation, the design of airflow network is very important to retain good air quality.
4) Thermal dampers keep air supply rates almost steady and the effect is significant in airtight structures.
These studies showed a basic strategy to use passive ventilation not only in cold areas but also in mild areas in Japan.

Nomenclature
h(t)= the initial response of thermal-flow rate
B0= the steady value of thermal-flow rate
δ(t)= Delta function
q = the airflow rate
n= the exponent of air flow friction
[D]= the matrix of airflow friction
[K]= the matrix of room air elasticity
\{F\text{wind}\}= the power of wind
\{F\text{temp}\}= the power by the room air density
\{Q\}= the matrix of airflow rate
Q(i,j)= the airflow rate from room-i to room-j
C(t)= the concentration of a pollutant
\{V\}= the volume of a room
\{M\}= the emission rate of a pollutant in each room.
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