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ABSTRACT
For the purpose of revitalizing traditional architecture, we considered the characteristics of a traditional architecture cluster with regard to cross ventilation, and from an environmental engineering point of view, we proposed techniques to improve the surrounding environment. In this report we make use of and improve the urban area model used in a prior report, as well as use CFD to take into account the effects of surrounding topography. To improve the urban area model, we make detailed computations of the wind pressure coefficient distribution. Second, we reproduced in more detail the shape of the target residence, and performed a detailed analysis. From this result, we analysis related to the internal wind speed of the target residences.

INTRODUCTION
In many places in Japan there remain clusters of traditional architecture, but, as life styles change, demolitions show increasing or decreasing trends. For the preservation of such traditional architecture, and for the purpose of revitalizing it, we consider the characteristics of a traditional architecture cluster with regard to cross ventilation. We also, with regard to the various characteristics of traditional architecture clusters, looked at techniques for improving the surrounding environment. In an earlier report, there was a survey of the thermal boundary for a single wind direction (southerly) for existing urban houses (Residence A and Residence F) in Takahashi City, Okayama prefecture. In this report, we improve the urban area model used in the earlier report. The CFD was expanded to include 16 wind directions and for residences A and F the wind pressure coefficient distribution was computed. Furthermore, in order to perform a more detailed analysis, the shape of the mountains in the vicinity of Takahashi City was taken into account when creating the inflow boundary conditions, the reproduction of the target residences’ environs were improved, and the building interior cross ventilation characteristics were examined.

IMPROVED URBAN AREA MODEL CFD

Analysis Summary
Fig. 1 shows the vertical mesh partitions for the urban area model used for this analysis. Likewise,
The urban area model used for this analysis was generated using a random group of points approximately every 2 meters that were a sampling of discrete elevation points from Digital Surface Model (DSM) data, provided by the Kokusai Kogyo Company. The mesh was created by using the load balancing parallel mesh generation utility, snappyHexMesh, which is bundled with OpenFOAM (v2.1).
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**Fig. 3** Wind Pressure Coefficient of Target Residence A and F (Wind Direction: South)

**Fig. 4** Wind Pressure Coefficient of Target Residence A

The traits of the traditional architecture clusters, such as the gabled roofs and so forth, were reproduced by using block shapes to approximate them. Also, although there are mountains to the Northwest of Takahashi City, from the viewpoint of this analysis, the mountains are omitted in Section 1 because of some uneasiness regarding the resolution of their calculations.

In the vertical direction, the analysis domain used boundary layer thickness standards from Architecture Institute of Japan's "Recommendations for Loads on Buildings" provisions. The horizontal unit of measurement for the analysis domain is inferred from the outer edge of the urban area, and extends up to 5 times the height of a typical building. From all this, the size of the analysis domain was set to 800m front to back by 800m side to side, with a height of 480m. The maximum mesh unit in the vicinity of the sky is 16m, and in the vicinity of ground surface plane the mesh is 2m. In the vicinity of target residences A and F, the mesh size is 50cm.

The calculation formula for the turbulence wind speed, turbulence kinetic energy, and energy dissipation rate used for the inflow boundary conditions were calculated from the equations given in Tab. 2. In these calculations, Takahashi City has a ground surface roughness calculation of III with a power multiplier of 0.2, a vertical inflow wind velocity profile of 480m, and using the reference ground point of 6.6m from the Expanded AMeDAS Weather Data for Takahashi City the wind velocity is 2.0m/s. In this analysis, for the purpose of understanding cross ventilation around the target residence, the thermal & meteorological effects are not included. Other analysis conditions are shown in Tab. 1.
Analysis Results

Fig. 3 shows a diagram of the wind pressure coefficient distribution for residences A and F. As a typical example, the results are given for southerly winds, the primary wind direction for Takahashi City. For Residence A, changes in the wind pressure, for the most part, cannot be seen. For Residence F, negative values are shown for all wall surfaces due to the effects of other structures in the vicinity.

Fig. 4 shows, for Residence A, the wind pressure coefficient for each wind direction at the center point of each wall surface. For Residence A, for wind directions of E, ESE, SE, as well as WSW, W, WNW, NW, it can be seen that the variation in the wind pressure coefficient for each wall surface had a tendency to become larger. Also, the wind pressure coefficient for wind directions of SW~NNE impacting the West wall became extremely large. It was considered that was due to omitting the mountains to the West of Takahashi City for the purpose of resolving the calculations.

Fig. 5 shows, for Residence F, the wind pressure coefficient for each wind direction at the center point of each wall surface. For wind directions of NNE~S, the differences in wind pressure coefficient between each wall surface had a tendency to become smaller, so it is thought that it does not show the promise of cross ventilation from outside winds. This is presumed to be caused by the attenuation of the wind in the large number of upwind structures. For wind directions of W~NNW, the wind pressure coefficient for West side wall surfaces shows positive values, but the East facing walls show negative values. Since, for wind directions of WNW, NW, the difference in the wind pressure coefficients for the walls is particularly large, it is thought that it shows the promise of cross ventilation through openings.

CFD TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE EFFECT OF SURROUNDING TOPOGRAPHY

Purpose

In Section 1, the urban area mesh used in the CFD of the previous report was improved for this analysis. However some problems remain because, in order to resolve the calculations, some points on the mountain peaks were ignored, the roofs were reproduced by approximating them with block shapes, and so forth. Okayama Prefecture is surrounded by mountains, and the strengthening of the wind turbulence within the city area due to the effects of the mountain topography is considered to be quite complex. The mountain topography, which was ignored in the CFD mentioned in Section 1, as well as the effect of the surrounding mountain topography for on the order of several km, need to be considered. Also, the DSM data used for the reproduction of the residences’ shape uses a random sampling of point groups with an interval of about 2 meters. However this level of reproduction is not adequate because residential openings ranging in size from 2~3 meters are common within the area of the target city. So, in this section, we considered the topography of the surrounding mountains when creating the inflow boundary conditions and improved the reproduction of the shape area around Residence A, as well examined it in relation to interior cross ventilation.
**Domain Setting**

In order to create the inflow boundary conditions while taking into account the mountain topography, as shown in Fig. 6, the calculation domain is considered to be partitioned into 4 regions.

1. **Target Residence A**
   To hollow out the interior, the points for the actual locations of openings in Residence A were established. In this report, interior floor plans and so on were omitted.

2. **Small Region**
   Centered on the target residence, 3~4 structures were included in a region approximately 50m on each side. The shape of the structures is based on inspection of the actual site; the slopes of the roofs were also reproduced.

3. **Medium Region**
   With the small region placed in the center, this region is about 350m on each side. The shape data for this region was created from the urban area model used in Section 2 and similarly from DSM data. The roofs and so forth were approximated with blocks in units of 2m.

4. **Large Region**
   With the medium region placed in the center, the large region is 4.5km in the East-West direction and 5.5km in the North-South directions. This region was created from elevation value data (5m interval) generated by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan. The surface was reproduced, but obstacles such as buildings and so on were not. This was done to resolve the calculations and simplify the setting of the inflow boundary conditions around the periphery of the region.

First, calculations were performed only for the larger region. From those results the boundary surface, turbulence kinetic energy, and energy dissipation rate were derived for the medium region. Using these as boundary conditions, calculations were made for the medium region, and from those calculations were made for the small region. Residence A was included in the small region.

**Analysis Summary**

Fig. 7 show the calculation mesh for the small and medium regions. The mesh was created by using the load balancing parallel mesh generation utility, snappyHexMesh, which is bundled with OpenFOAM (v2.1). The large region ground surface plane incorporates three layers with an average thickness of 0.35~1.7m, with the mesh size increasing in steps with distance from the surface up to a maximum mesh of 25m. The medium and small regions have a mesh of about 0.08m in the vicinity of the target residence, in other places, the mesh increases in steps up to a maximum of 5m. The number of cells for the large region is 70,149,414, and for the medium and small region is 6,327,905. Analysis conditions are shown in Tab. 3.

**Analysis Results**

In the large region, we performed the calculation by inserting a uniform wind influx from the South. For this wind influx, we referred to Japan Meteorological Agency wind speed statistical data for Yonago City. In the vertical direction we used a roughness length of $\delta_0=1[m]$ distributed according to a logarithmic scale from the calculated results for the large region, we derived the boundary surface for the medium area as shown in the calculated results in Fig.8. The wind influx in the large region had a uniform speed, but we confirmed a turbulent state when the effect of the shape of the mountains was included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tab.3 Analysis Condition of OpenFOAM.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells for the large region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells for the medium and small region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution of pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finite difference Scheme for convective terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary condition of wall surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition except inflow surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis wind direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig.7 Mesh Partitioning of Medium Region and Small Region**

**Fig.8 Boundary Condition of Medium Region**

Wind Direction
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Fig 9 shows the wind condition in the vicinity of Residence A for the case where the wind direction is to the South. We were able to confirm that the wind flows into and flows out of Residence A.

Fig. 10 shows the air current characteristics inside Residence A for the case where the wind direction is to the South. When the wind is to the South, we observed that the air flow entered from the second floor veranda opening on the East side and exited through the second floor window on the West side.

Fig. 11 shows the ventilation characteristics inside Residence A for the case where the wind direction is to the North. When the wind is to the North, we were able to confirm a state of wind inflow from both the second floor West side veranda and the second floor East side window. For both cases where the wind is to the North or the South, the inflow from the openings on the first floor is small.

CONCLUSION
In Section 1 of this study, we used an improved urban area model and CFD with 16 wind directions. We calculated the wind pressure coefficient distribution, as well as performed an analysis in relation to cross ventilation utilization. In Section 2, based on the troublesome points in the urban area model used in Section 1, we considered the effect of the surrounding topography when creating the inflow boundary conditions, and we performed the analysis with improved reproductions of the shape of, and interior ventilation for, the target structures. Compared with the analysis used in Section 1, the analysis used in Section 2 yielded more detailed calculation results. Moving forward, we plan to conduct analysis including the heat conditions, and more detailed analysis related to the internal wind speed of the target residences.
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Fig.9 Ventilation of Target Residence A (Wind Direction:South)

Fig.10 Ventilation in Target Residence A (Wind Direction:South)

Fig.11 Ventilation in Target Residence A (Wind Direction:North)